

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/019,350	10/19/2001	Michael Franks Robinson	0892161.000000US 1373	
7590 07/13/2004			EXAMINER	
Townsend and Townsend and Crew Two Embarcadero Center 8th Floor			ANDERSON, MATTHEW A	
San Francisco, CA 94111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	
		DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/019,350	ROBINSON, MICHAEL FRANKS				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matthew A. Anderson	1765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 09 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
$3. \square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)□ disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						
•	*	- All				
		DINE NOR TON				
		"				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Application No. 10/019,350

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In respect to claims 1-15, 27-28, the examiner must further consider and/or search the method limitation of using a first and second heating mechanism to heat the precursor gases separately and to different temperatures. Claims 16-26, 29-30 were previously rejected over art with multiple heaters.